Q.1 PRINCIPLE ACTIVITY:	Wake Forest	
Administration	4	2.9%
Teaching	131	94.2%
Research	3	2.2%
Other	1	.7%
Valid Cases	139	100.0%

Q.2 FULL-TIME	Wake Forest	
STATUS:		
No	7	5.0%
Yes	134	95.0%
Valid Cases	141	100.0%

	Wake Forest	
Q.3 SEX:		
Male	79	56.4%
Female	61	43.6%
Valid Cases	140	100.0%

	Wake Forest	
Q.4 ACADEMIC RANK:		
Professor	51	36.2%
Associate Prof	37	26.2%
Assistant Prof	37	26.2%
Lecturer	5	3.5%
Instructor	7	5.0%
Other	4	2.8%
Valid Cases	141 100.0%	

Q.5 ADMINISTRATIVE	Wake Forest	
TITLE:		
Not Applicable	111	82.8%
Director/Coord	8	6.0%
Dept Chair	8	6.0%
Dean	1	.7%
Assoc/Asst Dean	2	1.5%
Other	4	3.0%
Valid Cases	134	100.0%

	Wake Forest	
Q.6 MARITAL STATUS:		
Married	104	74.8%
With Partner	5	3.6%
Single	30	21.6%
Valid Cases	139 100.0%	

	Wake Forest	
Q.7 MARITAL HISTORY:		
Ever Divorced	24	82.8%
Ever Widowed	2	6.9%
Ever Separated	4	13.8%
VALID N	29	100.0%

Percentages may sum to more than 100.0%. Multiple Responses Allowed.

Q.8 IF GIVEN CHANCE		Wake	Forest
WOULD:	011111102		
STILL WANT TO	Definitely No	1	.7%
BE COLLEGE PROF?	Probably No	4	2.9%
	Not Sure	19	13.6%
	Probably Yes	50	35.7%
	Definitely Yes	66	47.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

	Wake	Forest
Q.9 RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP:		
White/Caucasian	124	88.6%
African American/Black	4	2.9%
American Indian	3	2.1%
Asian American/Asian	3	2.1%
Mexican American/Chicano		
Puerto Rican American		
Other Latino	4	2.9%
Other	4	2.9%
Valid Cases	140	100.0%

Percentages may sum to more than 100.0%. Multiple Responses Allowed.

Q.10 NUMBER OF		Wake Forest	
CHILDREN:			
NUMBER OF CHILDREN: 0-4	None	58	84.1%
YEARS	One	9	13.0%
	Two	2	2.9%
Valid Cases		69	100.0%
NUMBER OF	None	F.4	C4 40/
CHILDREN: 5-12	One	51 22	61.4% 26.5%
YEARS	Two		
	Three	6 3	7.2% 3.6%
	Four or More	)   1	3.6% 1.2%
Valid Cases	i cai oi more	83	100.0%
		03	100.0%
NUMBER OF	None	50	64.1%
CHILDREN: 13-17 YEARS	One	19	24.4%
	Two	8	10.3%
	Three	1	1.3%
Valid Cases		78	100.0%
NUMBER OF	None	50	74.00/
CHILDREN: 18-23	One	52	71.2%
YEARS	Two	17	23.3%
Valid Cases	1 WO	4	5.5%
valid Cases		73	100.0%
NUMBER OF	None	52	61.2%
CHILDREN: 24+ YEARS	One	10	11.8%
	Two	20	23.5%
	Three	2	2.4%
	Four or More	1	1.2%
Valid Cases		85	100.0%

Q.11 PRIMARY INTERESTS:	Wake Forest	
Heavily Teaching	27	19.4%
Toward Teaching	72	51.8%
Toward Research	37	26.6%
Heavily Research	3	2.2%
Valid Cases	139	100.0%

		Wake Forest	
Q.12 DEGR	EES:		
HIGHEST	MA,MS,ETC	11	7.9%
DEGREE EARNED	LLB,JD	1	.7%
	EDD	1	.7%
	PHD	120	85.7%
	Other	7	5.0%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
DEGREE	MA,MS,ETC	1	1.7%
CURRENTLY WORKING	MD,DDS,ETC	3	5.2%
TOWARDS	OTH PROF	1	1.7%
	PHD	3	5.2%
	None	50	86.2%
Valid Cases		58	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.13 TEACHING ACTIVITIES:			
TAUGHT HONORS COURSE	No	91	72.8%
	Yes	34	27.2%
Valid Cases		125	100.0%
TAUGHT INTERDISCIPLINARY COURSE	No	77	59.2%
	Yes	53	40.8%
Valid Cases		130	100.0%
TAUGHT ETHNIC STUDIES COURSE	No	107	
	Yes	13	10.8%
Valid Cases		120	100.0%
TALIOUT WOMENIO STUDIES COURSE	Ma		
TAUGHT WOMEN'S STUDIES COURSE	No	106	89.8%
Valid Cases	Yes	12	10.2%
valid Cases		118	100.0%
TEAM-TAUGHT A COURSE	No	0.4	05.00/
TEAM-TAUGITI A COURSE	Yes	81	65.3%
Valid Cases	165	43	34.7%
valid Cases		124	100.0%
TAUGHT A SERVICE-LEARNING	No	103	87.3%
COURSE	Yes	103	
	. 00	15	12.7%

		Wake	Forest
Q.13 TEACHING ACTIVITIES:			
Valid Cases		118	100.0%
WORKED W/STUDENTS ON RESEARCH PROJECT	No	47	35.3%
FROSECT	Yes	86	64.7%
Valid Cases		133	100.0%
USED FUNDS FOR RESEARCH	No	52	40.0%
	Yes	78	60.0%
Valid Cases		130	100.0%
ATTENDED TEACHING ENHANCEMENT WORKSHOP	No	55	43.0%
WORKOTO	Yes	73	57.0%
Valid Cases		128	100.0%
PLACED/COLLECTED ASSIGNMENT ON INTERNET	No	39	30.5%
INTERNAL I	Yes	89	69.5%
Valid Cases		128	100.0%
TAUGHT COURSE EXCLUSIVELY ON INTERNET	No	117	99.2%
	Yes	1	.8%
Valid Cases		118	100.0%

Q.14A MAJOR OF	Wake	Forest
HIGHEST DEGREE:		
Biological Sci	13	9.9%
Business	5	3.8%
Education	10	7.6%
English	5	3.8%
Health Sci	1	.8%
History/Poli Sci	18	13.7%
Humanities	26	19.8%
Fine Arts	14	10.7%
Math/Stat	5	3.8%
Physical Sci	9	6.9%
Social Sci	22	16.8%
Other Technical	2	1.5%
Other	1	.8%
Valid Cases	131 100.0%	

Q.14B CURRENT	Wake	Forest
DEPARTMENT:		
Biological Sci	13	9.8%
Business	7	5.3%
Education	8	6.0%
English	6	4.5%
Health Sci	1	.8%
History/Poli Sci	18	13.5%
Humanities	27	20.3%
Fine Arts	14	10.5%
Math/Stat	4	3.0%
Physical Sci	9	6.8%
Social Sci	22	16.5%
Other Technical	3	2.3%
Other	1	.8%
Valid Cases	133	100.0%

		Wake Forest	
Q.15 BAS	Q.15 BASE SALARY:		
BASE	less than \$20,000	1	1.0%
SALARY (9/10	\$20,000-29,999	2	2.1%
MONTH)	\$30,000-39,000	3	3.1%
	\$40,000-49,000	11	11.3%
	\$50,000-59,000	12	12.4%
	\$60,000-69,000	14	14.4%
	\$70,000-79,000	18	18.6%
	\$80,000-89,000	16	16.5%
	\$90,000-99,000	7	7.2%
	\$100,000-124,000	12	12.4%
	\$150,000 or more	1	1.0%
Valid Cases		97	100.0%
	***		
BASE SALARY	\$20,000-29,999	1	2.4%
(11/12	\$30,000-39,000	10	23.8%
MONTH)	\$40,000-49,000	9	21.4%
	\$50,000-59,000	3	7.1%
	\$60,000-69,000	8	19.0%
	\$70,000-79,000	6	14.3%
	\$80,000-89,000	2	4.8%
	\$90,000-99,000	1	2.4%
	\$100,000-124,000	2	4.8%
Valid Cases		42	100.0%

	Wake Forest		
Q.16A AGE:			
< 30	3	2.2%	
30-34	13	9.4%	
35-39	20	14.5%	
40-44	15	10.9%	
45-49	25	18.1%	
50-54	25	18.1%	
55-59	23	16.7%	
60-64	10	7.2%	
65-69	2	1.4%	
70 +	2	1.4%	
Valid Cases	138	100.0%	

Q.16B YEAR DEGREE Wake Fo		Forest
EARNED:		
< 1964	4	2.9%
1964-68	5	3.6%
1969-73	19	13.7%
1974-78	14	10.1%
1979-83	16	11.5%
1984-88	29	20.9%
1989-93	19	13.7%
1994-98	18	12.9%
1999-2001	15	10.8%
Valid Cases	139	100.0%

Q.16C YEAR OF	Wake	Forest
APPOINTMENT:		
< 1964	2	1.4%
1964-68	4	2.9%
1969-73	11	8.0%
1974-78	8	5.8%
1979-83	15	10.9%
1984-88	24	17.4%
1989-93	18	13.0%
1994-98	23	16.7%
1999-2001	33	23.9%
Valid Cases	138	100.0%

	Wake Forest		
Q.16D TENURED:			
No	59	44.7%	
Yes	73	55.3%	
Valid Cases	132	100.0%	

Q.16E YEAR TENURE	Wake Forest	
AWARDED:		
1964-68	2	2.5%
1974-78	11	13.8%
1979-83	13	16.3%
1984-88	13	16.3%
1989-93	17	21.3%
1994-98	13	16.3%
1999-2001	11	13.8%
Valid Cases	80 100.0%	

		Wake	Forest
Q17 HOURS PER WEEK	<b>(</b> :		
SCHEDULED TEACHING	1-4	8	6.0%
	5-8	74	55.2%
	9-12	45	33.6%
	13-16	5	3.7%
	17-20	2	1.5%
Valid Cases		134	100.0%
PREPARING FOR TEACHING	1-4	7	5.2%
	5-8	42	31.3%
	9-12	38	28.4%
	13-16	14	10.4%
	17-20	18	13.4%
	21-24	13	9.7%
	35-44	2	1.5%
Valid Cases		134	100.0%
ADVISING/COUNSELING STUDENTS	None	2	1.5%
STODENTS	1-4	86	65.2%
	5-8	33	25.0%
	9-12	7	5.3%
	13-16	3	2.3%
	17-20	1	.8%
Valid Cases		132	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q17 HOURS PER WEEK			
COMMITTEE WORK/MEETINGS	None	6	4.5%
WORNWEETINGS	1-4	102	76.1%
	5-8	18	13.4%
	9-12	7	5.2%
	13-16	1	.7%
Valid Cases		134	100.0%
OTHER ADMINISTRATION	None	44	22.60/
OTTLETO/ISMINIOTON	1-4	41	33.6%
	5-8	57	46.7%
	9-12	9	7.4% 7.4%
	13-16	9	
	17-20	3	2.5%
	21-24	· .	.8%
Valid Cases	Z 1-Z- <del>T</del>	2	1.6%
valid Gases		122	100.0%
RESEARCH AND SCHOLARLY	None	17	12.8%
WRITING	1-4	48	36.1%
	5-8	32	24.1%
	9-12	20	15.0%
	13-16	8	6.0%
	17-20	3	2.3%
	21-24	3	2.3%
	35-44	2	1.5%
Valid Cases		133	100.0%
CREATIVE	None	70	04.007
PRODUCTS/PERFORMANCES	1-4	79	64.8%
	5-8	24	19.7%
	9-12	11	9.0%
	9-12 17-20	5	4.1%
	21-24	1	.8%
	35-44	1	.8%
Valid Cases	JJ <del>-44</del>	1	.8%
valid Cases		122	100.0%
•			

		Wake	Forest
Q17 HOURS PER WEEK:			
CONSULTATION W CLIENTS/PATIENTS	None	106	91.4%
CLIENTS/PATIENTS	1-4	7	6.0%
	5-8	1	.9%
	13-16	1	.9%
	35-44	1	.9%
Valid Cases		116	100.0%
COMMUNITY/PUBLIC SERVICE	None	43	35.5%
SERVICE	1-4	64	52.9%
	5-8	10	8.3%
	9-12	2	1.7%
	13-16	1	.8%
	35-44	1	.8%
Valid Cases		121	100.0%
OUTSIDE CONSULTING/FREELANCE	None	77	66.4%
CONSULTING/FREELANCE	1-4	34	29.3%
	5-8	4	3.4%
	9-12	1	.9%
Valid Cases		116	100.0%
HOUSEHOLD/CHILD CARE DUTIES	None	10	7.9%
	1-4	21	16.5%
	5-8	38	29.9%
	9-12	18	14.2%
	13-16	9	7.1%
	17-20	11	8.7%
	21-24	10	7.9%
	35-44	7	5.5%
	45+	3	2.4%
Valid Cases		127	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q18 NUMER OF COURSES	:		
GENERAL EDUCATION	None	51	53.1%
	One	22	22.9%
	Two	14	14.6%
	Three	7	7.3%
	Four	1	1.0%
	Five or More	1	1.0%
Valid Cases		96	100.0%
OTHER BA/BS UNDERGRAD	None	10	8.1%
CREDIT	One	28	22.6%
	Two	53	42.7%
	Three	30	24.2%
	Four	1	.8%
	Five or More	2	1.6%
Valid Cases		124	100.0%
NON-BA CREDIT (DEVEL/REMED)	None	71	100.0%
Valid Cases		71	100.0%
GRADUATE	None	54	67.5%
	One	21	26.3%
	Two	4	5.0%
	Three	1	1.3%
Valid Cases		80	100.0%

Q19 IMPORTANCE OF EDUCATION		Wake	Forest
GOALS:			
DEVELOP ABILITY TO THINK CLEARLY	Very Important	13	9.3%
I HINK CLEARLY	Essential	127	90.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
PREPARE FOR	Not Important	11	7.9%
EMPLOYMENT	Somewhat Important	67	47.9%
	Very Important	50	35.7%
	Essential	12	8.6%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q19 IMPORTANCE O	OF EDUCATION	Wake	Forest
GOALS:			
PREPARE FOR GRADUATE EDUCATION	Not Important Somewhat Important	3 42	2.1% 30.0%
	Very Important	83	59.3%
	Essential	12	8.6%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
DEVELOP MORAL CHARACTER	Not Important	11	7.9%
OHARAOTER	Somewhat Important	55	39.3%
	Very Important	53	37.9%
	Essential	21	15.0%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
PROVIDE FOR EMOTIONAL	Not Important	20	14.5%
DEVELOPMENT	Somewhat Important	78	56.5%
	Very Important	33	23.9%
	Essential	7	5.1%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
PREPARE FOR FAMILY	Not Important	68	49.3%
LIVING	Somewhat Important	58	42.0%
	Very Important	12	8.7%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
TEACH CLASSICS OF WESTERN CIVILIZATION	Not Important	42	30.0%
WESTERN SIVILIZATION	Somewhat Important	57	40.7%
	Very Important	33	23.6%
	Essential	8	5.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
HELP DEVELOP PERSONAL VALUES	Not Important	6	4.3%
I LINGUINAL VALUES	Somewhat Important	52	37.1%
	Very Important	59	42.1%
	Essential	23	16.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q19 IMPORTANCE (	OF EDUCATION	Wake	Forest
GOALS:			
ENHANCE OUT-OF-CLASS EXPERIENCE	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important	22 73 36	15.7% 52.1% 25.7%
	Essential	9	6.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
ENHANCE SELF-UNDERSTANDING	Not Important	7	5.0%
	Somewhat Important Very Important	41	29.3%
	Essential	63 29	45.0% 20.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
. INSTILL COMMITMENT TO COMMUNITY SVC	Not Important	20	14.3%
TO COMMONTA SVC	Somewhat Important	82	58.6%
	Very Important	31	22.1%
	Essential	7	5.0%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
PREPARE FOR	Not Important	9	6.5%
RESPONSIBLE CITIZENSHIP	Somewhat Important	47	33.8%
	Very Important	60	43.2%
	Essential	23	16.5%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
ENHANCE KNOWLEDGE	Not Important	13	9.3%
OF RACE/ETH GROUPS	Somewhat Important	52	37.1%
	Very Important	37	26.4%
	Essential	38	27.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
STUDY FOREGN	Not Important	36	25.7%
LANGUAGE	Somewhat Important	43	30.7%
	Very Important	27	19.3%
	Essential	34	24.3%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q.19 MEAN IMPORTANCE:		Wake Forest
DEVELOP ABILITY TO THINK	Valid Cases	140
CLEARLY	Mean	3.91
PREPARE FOR EMPLOYMENT	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.45
		2.40
PREPARE FOR GRADUATE	Valid Cases	140
EDUCATION	Mean	2.74
		2.74
DEVELOP MORAL CHARACTER	Valid Cases	140
BEVEEST MOTORE STATE OF THE	Mean	
	Mean	2.60
PROVIDE FOR EMOTIONAL	Valid Cases	400
DEVELOPMENT		138
	Mean	2.20
DDEDADE FOR FAMILY LIVING	V-154 O	
PREPARE FOR FAMILY LIVING	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.59
·		
TEACH CLASSICS OF WESTERN CIVILIZATION	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.05
HELP DEVELOP PERSONAL VALUES	Valid Cases	140
VALUES	Mean	2.71
ENHANCE OUT-OF-CLASS	Valid Cases	140
EXPERIENCE	Mean	2.23
ENHANCE SELF-UNDERSTANDING	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.81
INSTILL COMMITMENT TO	Valid Cases	140
COMMUNITY SVC	Mean	2.18
PREPARE FOR RESPONSIBLE	Valid Cases	139
CITIZENSHIP	Mean	2.70
1.		2.70
ENHANCE KNOWLEDGE OF	Valid Cases	140
RACE/ETH GROUPS	Mean	
	ouri	2.71

Scale: 4=Essential 3=Very Important 2=Somewhat Important 1=Not Important

Q.19 MEAN IMPORTANCE:		Wake Forest
STUDY FOREGN LANGUAGE	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.42

Scale: 4=Essential 3=Very Important 2=Somewhat Important 1=Not Important

Q.20 INFLUENCES ON CAREER		Wake	Forest
CHOICE:	20 011 07 11 12211		
FATHER	Not Influential	62	45.3%
	Somewhat Influential	39	28.5%
	Very Influential	36	26.3%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%
MOTHER	Not Influential	42	30.7%
	Somewhat Influential	56	40.9%
	Very Influential	39	28.5%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%
OTHER RELATIVES	Not Influential	91	66.4%
	Somewhat Influential	28	20.4%
	Very Influential	18	13.1%
Valid Cases	,	137	100.0%
UNDERGRADUATE	Not Influential	43	31.6%
FACULTY/ADVISOR	Somewhat Influential	43	31.6%
	Very Influential	50	36.8%
Valid Cases		136	100.0%
GRADUATE	Not Influential	40	29.2%
FACULTY/ADVISOR	Somewhat Influential	41	29.9%
	Very Influential	56	40.9%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%

Q.20 MEAN INFLUENCES:		Wake Forest
FATHER	Valid Cases	137
	Mean	1.81
MOTHER	Valid Cases	137
	Mean	1.98
OTHER RELATIVES	Valid Cases	137
	Mean	1.47
UNDERGRADUATE FACULTY/ADVISOR	Valid Cases	136
	Mean	2.05
GRADUATE FACULTY/ADVISOR	Valid Cases	137
	Mean	2.12

Scale: 3=Very Influential 2=Somewhat Influential 1=Not Influential

Q.21-23 NUMBER OF		Wake	Forest
PUBLICATIONS/EXIBITIONS:			
ARTICLES IN ACAD/PROF JOURNALS	NONE	16	11.8%
JOURNALS	1-2	25	18.4%
	3-4	15	11.0%
	5-10	27	19.9%
	11-20	27	19.9%
	21-50	19	14.0%
	51+	7	5.1%
Valid Cases		136	100.0%
CHAPTERS IN EDITED VOLUMES	NONE	50	37.3%
	1-2	38	28.4%
	3-4	27	20.1%
	5-10	12	9.0%
	11-20	2	1.5%
	21-50	4	3.0%
	51+	1	.7%
Valid Cases		134	100.0%

Q.21-23 NUMBER OF		Wake	Forest
PUBLICATIONS/EXIBITIONS:			
BOOKS,MANUALS,MONOGRAPHS	NONE	68	50.0%
	1-2	47	34.6%
	3-4	14	10.3%
	5-10	5	3.7%
	11-20	2	1.5%
Valid Cases		136	100.0%
EXHIBITIONS/PERFORMANCES	NONE	109	80.7%
Z, w ii z i w z i w w w w z z z w z i w w w w	1-2	2	1.5%
	3-4	3	2.2%
	5-10	2	1.5%
	11-20	6	4.4%
	21-50	5	3.7%
	51+	8	5.7 % 5.9%
Valid Cases		135	100.0%
PUBLISHED/PRESENTED IN LAST TWO YEARS	NONE	39	28.5%
1.00 12.00	1-2	44	32.1%
	3-4	30	21.9%
	5-10	22	16.1%
	11-20	2	1.5%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.24 GENERAL ACTIVIT	TIES:		
HELD ACADEMIC ADMIN POSITION	No	93	66.9%
POSITION	Yes	46	33.1%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
AWARD FOR OUTSTANDING	No	89	64.5%
TEACHING	Yes	49	35.5%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
COMMUTE LONG DISTANCE	No	125	89.3%
TO WORK	Yes	15	10.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

		Wake Forest	
Q.24 GENERAL ACTIVIT	TES:		
RESEARCH/WRITING ON WOMEN	No	92	65.7%
VVOIVIEIN	Yes	48	34.3%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
SPOUSE/PARTNER WORKS IN SAME CITY	No	46	36.8%
IN OAWL OTT	Yes	79	63.2%
Valid Cases		125	100.0%
SPOUSE/PARTNER AN ACADEMIC	No	88	69.3%
	Yes	39	30.7%
Valid Cases		127	100.0%
RESEARCH/WRITING ON RACE/ETHNICITY	No	94	67.6%
	Yes	45	32.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
BORN IN THE U.S.A.	No	20	14.4%
Valid Casas	Yes	119	85.6%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
AM A U.S. CITIZEN	No	40	0.40/
AWIA 0.5. CITIZLIN	Yes	13	9.4%
Valid Cases	103	126	90.6%
Valid Odoco		139	100.0%
INTERRUPTED CAREER FOR	No	132	94.3%
HEALTH/FAMILY	Yes	8	5.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
		140	100.070
SEXUALLY HARASSED AT	No	133	95.7%
THIS INSTITUTION	Yes	6	4.3%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
			. 55.570
PLAN WORKING BEYOND	No	101	73.7%
AGE 70	Yes	36	26.3%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%
MEMBER OF FACULTY	No	117	84.2%
UNION	Yes	22	15.8%

## 2001-02 HERI Faculty Survey

		Wake	Forest
Q.24 GENERAL ACTIV	'ITIES:		
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
FATHER AN ACADEMIC	No	124	89.2%
	Yes	15	10.8%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
MOTHER AN ACADEMIC	No	123	88.5%
	Yes	16	11.5%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

Q.24 ACTIVITIES IN LAST	T TWO	Wake	Forest
YEARS:			
RECEIVED AT LEAST ONE FIRM JOB OFFER	No	112	80.6%
	Yes	27	19.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
DEVELOPED NEW COURSE	No	28	20.0%
	Yes	112	80.0%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
CONSIDERED EARLY RETIREMENT	No	104	74.3%
	Yes	36	25.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
·			
CONSIDERED LEAVING ACADEME	No	103	73.6%
	Yes	37	26.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
TAUGHT AT MORE THAN ONE INST IN SAME TERM	No	127	90.7%
	Yes	13	9.3%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
OFFINER AC A DAIR	NI.		/
SERVED AS A PAID CONSULTANT	No	91	65.0%
V-134 O	Yes	49	35.0%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
DECLIFOTED/COLICITE ARLY	No		<b>0</b> 0.
REQUESTED/SOUGHT EARLY PROMOTION	No	134	95.7%
	Yes	6	4.3%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q.25 IMPORTANCE OF REASONS FOR		Wake	Forest
WORKING AT THIS			
INST EMPHASIS ON	A Deterrent	2	1.4%
TEACHING	Not Important	7	5.0%
	Somewhat Important	38	27.1%
	Very Important	93	66.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q.25 IMPORTANCE OF	REASONS FOR	Wake	Forest
WORKING AT THIS INS			
INST EMPHASIS ON RESEARCH	Not Important	34	24.3%
RESEARCH	Somewhat Important	65	46.4%
	Very Important	41	29.3%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
PRESTIGE OF INSTITUTION	A Deterrent	_	-0/
PRESTIGE OF INSTITUTION	Not Important	1	.7%
	Somewhat Important	20	14.3%
	Very Important	79	56.4%
Valid Cases	very important	40	28.6%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
PRESTIGE OF	A Deterrent	3	2.1%
DEPARTMENT	Not Important	60	42.9%
	Somewhat Important	59	42.1%
	Very Important	18	12.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
SALARY/BENEFITS	A Deterrent	3	2.1%
	Not Important	33	23.6%
	Somewhat Important	86	61.4%
	Very Important	18	12.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
	A Datamant		
RESEARCH FACILITIES	A Deterrent	6	4.3%
	Not Important	45	32.1%
	Somewhat Important Very Important	65	46.4%
Valid Cases	very important	24	17.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
ACADEMIC RANK OFFERED	A Deterrent	4	2.9%
	Not Important	71	51.1%
	Somewhat Important	44	31.7%
	Very Important	20	14.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

## 2001-02 HERI Faculty Survey

Q.25 IMPORTANCE OF REASONS FOR		Wake	Forest
WORKING AT THIS INS			
COLLEAGUES	A Deterrent	1	.7%
	Not Important	19	13.6%
	Somewhat Important	62	44.3%
	Very Important	58	41.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION	A Deterrent	8	5.7%
SESSIVII TIIS ESSIVII SIV	Not Important	19	13.6%
	Somewhat Important	55	
	Very Important	58 58	39.3% 41.4%
Valid Cases	vory important	140	100.0%
		140	100.0%
JOB OPPORTUNITIES FOR	A Deterrent	7	5.2%
SPOUSE	Not Important	73	54.5%
	Somewhat Important	28	20.9%
	Very Important	26	19.4%
Valid Cases		134	100.0%
OTHER PERSONAL/FAMILY	A Deterrent	5	3.7%
CONSIDERATIONS	Not Important	63	
	Somewhat Important		46.3%
	Very Important	38	27.9%
Valid Cases	very important	30	22.1%
valid Cases		136	100.0%

Q.25 MEAN IMPORTAN	ICE:	Wake Forest
INST EMPHASIS ON TEACHING	Valid Cases	140
TEACHING	Mean	3.59
INST EMPHASIS ON RESEARCH	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	3.05
PRESTIGE OF INSTITUTION	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	3.13
PRESTIGE OF DEPARTMENT	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.66
SALARY/BENEFITS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.85
RESEARCH FACILITIES	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.76
ACADEMIC RANK OFFERED	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.58
COLLEAGUES	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	3.26
GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	3.16
JOB OPPORTUNITIES FOR SPOUSE	Valid Cases	134
	Mean	2.54
OTHER PERSONAL/FAMILY CONSIDERATIONS	Valid Cases	136
CONSIDERATIONS	Mean	2.68

Scale: 1=A Deterrent 2=Not Important 3=Somewhat Important 4=Very Important

		Wake	Forest
Q.26 IMPORTANCE OF PRIORITIES:			
PROMOTE INTELLECTUAL DEVELOPMENT	Medium Priority	7	5.0%
DEVELOPMENT	High Priority	67	47.9%

		Wake	Forest
Q.26 IMPORTANCE OF F	PRIORITIES:		
PROMOTE INTELLECTUAL	Highest Priority	66	47.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
		•	
HELP STUDENTS	Low Priority	4	2.9%
UNDERSTAND VALUES	Medium Priority	31	22.1%
	High Priority	78	55.7%
	Highest Priority	27	19.3%
Valid Cases	,	140	100.0%
		140	100.070
DEV COMMUNITY AMONG	Low Priority	9	6.4%
STUDENTS/FACULTY	Medium Priority	44	31.4%
	High Priority	62	44.3%
	Highest Priority	25	17.9%
Valid Cases	g	140	100.0%
		140	100.070
DEV LEADERSHIP ABILITY IN	Low Priority	4	2.9%
STUDENTS	Medium Priority	43	30.9%
	High Priority	73	52.5%
	Highest Priority	19	13.7%
Valid Cases	riigilooci fionty	139	100.0%
valid Gases		139	100.0%
FACILITATE COMMUNITY	Low Priority	9	6.5%
SERVICE INVOLVEMENT	Medium Priority	47	34.1%
	High Priority	63	45.7%
	Highest Priority		
Valid Cases	riighest rhonty	19	13.8%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
TEACH STUDENTS HOW TO	Low Priority	40	28.6%
CHANGE SOCIETY	Medium Priority	· ·	
	High Priority	73	52.1%
	Highest Priority	23	16.4%
Valid Cases	riighteet i honty	4	2.9%
valid Cases		140	100.0%
· INCREASE/MAINTAIN	Low Priority	, l	2.00/
INSTITUTIONAL PRESTIGE	Medium Priority	4	2.9%
	High Priority	20	14.6%
	Highest Priority	51	37.2%
Valid Cases	riighest Fhonty	62	45.3%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.26 IMPORTANCE OF	PRIORITIES:		
. HIRE FACULTY "STARS"  Valid Cases	Low Priority Medium Priority High Priority Highest Priority	32 59 36 13 140	22.9% 42.1% 25.7% 9.3% 100.0%
RECRUIT MORE MINORITY STUDENTS  Valid Cases	Low Priority Medium Priority High Priority Highest Priority	17 63 42 17 139	12.2% 45.3% 30.2% 12.2% 100.0%
ENHANCE INSTITUTION'S NATIONAL IMAGE	Low Priority Medium Priority High Priority Highest Priority	2 15 47 75	1.4% 10.8% 33.8% 54.0%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
CREATE MULTICULTURAL ENVIRONMENT  Valid Cases	Low Priority Medium Priority High Priority Highest Priority	18 75 32 15 140	12.9% 53.6% 22.9% 10.7% 100.0%
PROMOTE RELIG/SPIRIT DEVEL OF STUDENTS  Valid Cases	Low Priority Medium Priority High Priority Highest Priority	17 56 53 14 140	12.1% 40.0% 37.9% 10.0% 100.0%
MENTOR NEW FACULTY  Valid Cases	Low Priority Medium Priority High Priority Highest Priority	22 67 43 8 140	15.7% 47.9% 30.7% 5.7% 100.0%

Q.26 MEAN IMPORTANCE:		Wake Forest
PROMOTE INTELLECTUAL	Valid Cases	140
DEVELOPMENT	Mean	3.42
HELP STUDENTS UNDERSTAND	Valid Cases	140
VALUES	Mean	2.91
		2.91
DEV COMMUNITY AMONG	Valid Cases	140
STUDENTS/FACULTY	Mean	140
	ivieari	2.74
PEVI FAREROUIR ARIUTYIN	V-11-1-0	
DEV LEADERSHIP ABILITY IN STUDENTS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.77
FACILITATE COMMUNITY SERVICE	Valid Cases	138
INVOLVEMENT	Mean	2.67
TEACH STUDENTS HOW TO CHANGE	Valid Cases	140
SOCIETY	Mean	1.94
		1.01
INCREASE/MAINTAIN INSTITUTIONAL	Valid Cases	137
PRESTIGE	Mean	3.25
	Modifi	3.25
HIRE FACULTY "STARS"	Valid Cases	440
TIINETACOLIT STANS		140
	Mean	2.21
RECRUIT MORE MINORITY STUDENTS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.42
ENHANCE INSTITUTION'S NATIONAL IMAGE	Valid Cases	139
INAGE	Mean	3.40
CREATE MULTICULTURAL	Valid Cases	140
ENVIRONMENT	Mean	2.31
		2.01
PROMOTE RELIG/SPIRIT DEVEL OF	Valid Cases	140
STUDENTS	Mean	2.46
		2.40
MENTOR NEW FACULTY	Valid Cases	440
WENTOR NEW LAGUELT		140
	Mean	2.26

Scale: 1=Low Priority 2=Medium Priority 3=High Priority 4=Highest Priority

		Wake	Forest
Q.27 AGREEMENT W	/ITH STATEMENTS:		
FAC INTERESTED IN STUDENTS' PROBLEMS	Disagree Strongly	1	.7%
310DENTS PROBLEMS	Disagree Somewhat	18	12.9%
	Agree Somewhat	93	66.4%
	Agree Strongly	28	20.0%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
REFLECT DIVERSITY	Disagree Strongly	10	7.2%
MORE STRONGLY IN CURRIC	Disagree Somewhat	37	26.6%
CONNIC	Agree Somewhat	55	39.6%
	Agree Strongly	37	26.6%
Valid Cases	<b>C C</b> ,	139	100.0%
STUDENTS WELL	Disagree Strongly	4	2.9%
PREPARED ACADEMICALLY	Disagree Somewhat	30	21.6%
	Agree Somewhat	80	57.6%
	Agree Strongly	25	18.0%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
	5. 6		
HIRE MORE FACULTY OF COLOR	Disagree Strongly	5	3.6%
	Disagree Somewhat	26	18.7%
	Agree Somewhat	55	39.6%
	Agree Strongly	53	38.1%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
STUDENT AFFAIRS	Disagree Strongly	3	2.3%
STAFF SUPPORT BY FACULTY	Disagree Somewhat	38	28.6%
TAGOLIT	Agree Somewhat	81	60.9%
	Agree Strongly	11	8.3%
Valid Cases		133	100.0%
FACULTY COMMITTED TO WELFARE OF INST	Disagree Strongly	2	1.4%
I O WEEL / WE OF HIGH	Disagree Somewhat	10	7.2%
	Agree Somewhat	80	57.6%
	Agree Strongly	47	33.8%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

		Wake Forest	
Q.27 AGREEMENT W	/ITH STATEMENTS:		
FACULTY INSTERESTED	Disagree Somewhat	9	6.4%
IN STUD'S ACAD PROBS	Agree Somewhat	60	42.9%
	Agree Strongly	71	50.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
A LOT OF RACIAL	Disagree Strongly	71	52.6%
CONFLICT HERE	Disagree Somewhat	45	33.3%
	Agree Somewhat	18	13.3%
	Agree Strongly	10	.7%
Valid Cases	a grad a trangy	135	100.0%
COURSES INCLUDE FEMINIST PERSPECTIVE	Disagree Strongly	11	8.1%
	Disagree Somewhat	60	44.4%
	Agree Somewhat	63	46.7%
	Agree Strongly	1	.7%
Valid Cases		135	100.0%
FACULTY OF COLOR	Disagree Strongly	3	2.2%
TREATED FAIRLY	Disagree Somewhat	12	8.8%
	Agree Somewhat	68	50.0%
	Agree Strongly	53	39.0%
Valid Cases		136	100.0%
WOMEN FACULTY	Disagree Strongly	2	2.20/
TREATED FAIRLY	Disagree Somewhat	3	2.2%
	Agree Somewhat	14	10.2%
	Agree Strongly	72	52.6%
Valid Cases	rigide etterigiy	48	35.0%
·		137	100.0%
COURSES INVOLVE COMMUNITY SERVICE	Disagree Strongly	13	9.6%
CONNINITY SERVICE	Disagree Somewhat	70	51.5%
	Agree Somewhat	42	30.9%
	Agree Strongly	11	8.1%
Valid Cases		136	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.27 AGREEMENT W	/ITH STATEMENTS:		
SHOULD HIRE MORE WOMEN FACULTY	Disagree Strongly	5	3.7%
WOWEN FACULTY	Disagree Somewhat	42	30.9%
	Agree Somewhat	67	49.3%
	Agree Strongly	22	16.2%
Valid Cases		136	100.0%
STUDENTS COMMITTED	Disagree Strongly	9	6.6%
TO COMMUNITY SVC	Disagree Somewhat	52	38.0%
	Agree Somewhat	64	46.7%
	Agree Strongly	12	8.8%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%
GAY/LESBIAN FACULTY TREATED FAIRLY  Valid Cases	Disagree Strongly Disagree Somewhat Agree Somewhat Agree Strongly	7 35 68 25 135	5.2% 25.9% 50.4% 18.5% 100.0%
MY RESEARCH VALUED BY FACULTY IN MY DEPT	Disagree Strongly Disagree Somewhat Agree Somewhat Agree Strongly	8 29 53 43	6.0% 21.8% 39.8% 32.3%
Valid Cases		133	100.0%
MY TEACHING VALUED BY FACULTY IN MY DEPT	Disagree Strongly Disagree Somewhat Agree Somewhat Agree Strongly	3 8 62 65	2.2% 5.8% 44.9% 47.1%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%

Q.27 MEAN AGREEMENT:		Wake Forest
FAC INTERESTED IN STUDENTS' PROBLEMS	Valid Cases	140
PROBLEMS	Mean	3.06
REFLECT DIVERSITY MORE	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.86

Scale: 1=Disagree Strongly 2=Disagree Somewhat 3=Agree Somewhat 4=Agree Strongly

Q.27 MEAN AGREEMENT:		Wake Forest
STUDENTS WELL PREPARED	Valid Cases	139
ACADEMICALLY	Mean	2.91
HIRE MORE FACULTY OF COLOR	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	3.12
		02
STUDENT AFFAIRS STAFF	Valid Cases	133
SUPPORT BY FACULTY	Mean	2.75
		2.70
FACULTY COMMITTED TO	Valid Cases	139
WELFARE OF INST	Mean	3.24
		5.24
FACULTY INSTERESTED IN	Valid Cases	140
STUD'S ACAD PROBS	Mean	3.44
	Wodii	3.44
A LOT OF RACIAL CONFLICT	Valid Cases	125
HERE	Mean	135
	Mean	1.62
COURSES INCLUDE FEMINIST	Valid Cases	405
PERSPECTIVE		135
	Mean	2.40
FACULTY OF COLOR TREATED	Valid Cases	400
FAIRLY		136
	Mean	3.26
	V-11-1 O	
WOMEN FACULTY TREATED FAIRLY	Valid Cases	137
	Mean	3.20
COURSES INVOLVE COMMUNITY SERVICE		136
	Mean	2.38
•		
SHOULD HIRE MORE WOMEN FACULTY	Valid Cases	136
17.00211	Mean	2.78
STUDENTS COMMITTED TO COMMUNITY SVC	Valid Cases	137
COMMUNITY SVC	Mean	2.58
GAY/LESBIAN FACULTY TREATED	Valid Cases	135
FAIRLY	Mean	2.82
Coole: 1-Disagree Strongly 2-Disagree		Compubat 1= A grad

Scale: 1=Disagree Strongly 2=Disagree Somewhat 3=Agree Somewhat 4=Agree Strongly

Q.27 MEAN AGREEMENT:		Wake Forest
MY RESEARCH VALUED BY	Valid Cases	133
FACULTY IN MY DEPT	Mean	2.98
MY TEACHING VALUED BY FACULTY IN MY DEPT	Valid Cases	138
FACULTY IN MIT DEPT	Mean	3.37

Scale: 1=Disagree Strongly 2=Disagree Somewhat 3=Agree Somewhat 4=Agree Strongly

Q 28 PARTICIPA	TION IN REFORM	Wake	Forest
EFFORTS:			
OVERALL MISSION, PURPOSE	Not involved	77	55.4%
FUNFUSL	Minimally Involved	42	30.2%
	Very Involved	20	14.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
GENERAL EDUCATION	Not involved	53	38.1%
EBOOKHON	Minimally Involved	53	38.1%
	Very Involved	33	23.7%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
FACULTY ROLES/REWARDS	Not involved	77	55.8%
NOLLO/NEW/WOO	Minimally Involved	42	30.4%
	Very Involved	19	13.8%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
GOVERNANCE	Not involved	88	63.3%
	Minimally Involved	32	23.0%
	Very Involved	19	13.7%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
•			
CURRICULUM	Not involved	40	28.8%
	Minimally Involved	58	41.7%
	Very Involved	41	29.5%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

Q.28 MEAN PARTICIPA	TION:	Wake Forest
OVERALL MISSION, PURPOSE	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.59
GENERAL EDUCATION	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.86
FACULTY ROLES/REWARDS	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.58
GOVERNANCE	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.50
CURRICULUM	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.01

Scale: 1=Not Involved 2=Minimally Involved 3=Very Involved

Q.29 REASONS TO	) PURSUE	Wake I	Forest
ACADEMIA:	71 011002		
AUTONOMY	Not Important	5	3.6%
	Somewhat Important	26	18.7%
	Very Important	108	77.7%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
FLEXIBLE SCHEDULE	Not Important	9	6.4%
	Somewhat Important	41	29.3%
	Very Important	90	64.3%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
INTELLECTUAL CHALLENGE	Not Important	2	1.4%
CHALLENGE	Somewhat Important	16	11.4%
	Very Important	122	87.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
INTELLECTUAL	Not Important	4	2.9%
FREEDOM	Somewhat Important	28	20.0%
	Very Important	108	77.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q.29 REASONS TO	PURSUE	Wake	Forest
ACADEMIA:			
FREEDOM TO PURSUE INTERESTS	Not Important	3	2.1%
INTERESTS	Somewhat Important	21	15.0%
	Very Important	116	82.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
OPPORTUNITIES FOR	Not Important	4	2.9%
TEACHING	Somewhat Important	30	21.4%
	Very Important	106	75.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESEARCH	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important	13 57	9.3% 40.7%
Valid Cases	very important	70 140	50.0% 100.0%
OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE/STATUS	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important	44 72	31.4% 51.4%
Valid Cases	very important	24 140	17.1% 100.0%
OPTTY TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL CHANGE	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important	50 60 30	35.7% 42.9% 21.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q.29 MEAN REASONS:		Wake Forest
AUTONOMY	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.74
FLEXIBLE SCHEDULE	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.58
INTELLECTUAL CHALLENGE	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.86
·		
INTELLECTUAL FREEDOM	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.74
·		
FREEDOM TO PURSUE INTERESTS		140
	Mean	2.81
OPPORTUNITIES FOR TEACHING	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.73
OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESEARCH	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.41
OCCUPATIONAL PRESTIGE/STATUS		140
	Mean	1.86
·		
OPTTY TO INFLUENCE SOCIAL CHANGE	Valid Cases	140
OI II II II II	Mean	1.86

Scale: 1=Not Important 2=Somewhat Important 3=Very Important

		Wake	Forest
Q.30 SOURCES OF STRESS:			
HOUSEHOLD	Not At All	45	32.4%
RESPONSIBILITIES	Somewhat	65	46.8%
	Extensive	29	20.9%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
CHILD CARE	Not At All	89	65.0%
	Somewhat	37	27.0%
	Extensive	11	8.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.30 SOURCES OF S	TRESS:		
Valid Cases		137	100.0%
CARE OF ELDERLY	Not At All	04	05.00/
PARENT	Somewhat	91	65.9%
	Extensive	36	26.1%
Valid Cases	Extensive	11	8.0%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
MY PHYSICAL HEALTH	Not At All	81	58.7%
	Somewhat	47	34.1%
	Extensive	10	7.2%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
			100.070
REVIEW/PROMOTION	Not At All	83	59.7%
PROCESS	Somewhat	36	25.9%
	Extensive	20	14.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
SUBTLE DISCRIMINATION	Not At All	100	71.9%
	Somewhat	30	21.6%
	Extensive	9	6.5%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
PERSONAL FINANCES	Not At All	74	53.6%
	Somewhat	48	34.8%
\/-1:4 O	Extensive	16	11.6%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
COMMITTEE WORK	Not At All	61	44 20/
OOMINITTEE WORK	Somewhat	61	44.2% 46.4%
	Extensive	64	9.4%
Valid Cases	Extensive	13 138	9.4% 100.0%
		130	100.076
FACULTY MEETINGS	Not At All	74	53.2%
	Somewhat	54	38.8%
	Extensive	11	7.9%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
		'55	. 3 3 . 3 , 3

Q.30 SOURCES OF STRESS:   COLLEAGUES			Wake	Forest
COLLEAGUES         Not At All Somewhat Extensive         66 47.8% 33.3% 46 33.3% 46 33.3% 46 33.3% 46 33.3% 47.2%           Valid Cases         138 100.0% 18.8% 100.0% 13.8 100.0% 13	Q.30 SOURCES OF S	STRESS:		
Extensive   26			66	47.8%
Valid Cases       138       100.0%         STUDENTS       Not At All Somewhat Extensive       57       41.3% 52.2% 52.2% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5% 6.5		Somewhat	46	33.3%
STUDENTS  Not At All Somewhat Somewhat Fig. 52.2% Extensive  Valid Cases  RESEARCH/PUBLISHING DEMANDS  Not At All DEMANDS  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  Valid Cases  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  Valid Cases  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  Valid Cases  CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  Valid Cases  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  10 7.3% Valid Cases  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  10 7.3% Valid Cases  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  10 7.3% Valid Cases  137 100.0%  Valid Cases  137 100.0%  Not At All Somewhat Extensive  30 22.2% Extensive 32 2.2% Extensive 32 2.2%		Extensive	26	18.8%
Somewhat   Fixed   Somewhat   S	Valid Cases		138	100.0%
Somewhat   Fixed   Somewhat   S				
Extensive   9   6.5%	STUDENTS		57	41.3%
Valid Cases .  RESEARCH/PUBLISHING DEMANDS Somewhat Extensive Valid Cases .  INST PROCEDURES AND "RED TAPE" Somewhat Extensive Valid Cases .  TEACHING LOAD Not At All Somewhat Extensive Valid Cases .  CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS Not At All Somewhat Extensive  Valid Cases .  CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS Not At All Somewhat Extensive  Valid Cases .  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Not At All Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Somewhat Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  To Alid Cases Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Not At All Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Not At All Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Valid Cases Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Valid Cases Somewhat Sage and 130 100.0%  Somewhat Somewhat Sage and 130 22.2%  Somewhat Sage and 130 22.2%  Somewhat Sage and 130 22.2%  Extensive 3 2.2%			72	52.2%
RESEARCH/PUBLISHING DEMANDS Somewhat Extensive 21 15.2%  Valid Cases 138 100.0%  INST PROCEDURES AND Not At All Somewhat Extensive 12 8.6%  Valid Cases 139 100.0%  TEACHING LOAD Not At All Somewhat Extensive 20 14.4%  Valid Cases 139 100.0%  CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS Not At All Somewhat Extensive 10 7.3%  Valid Cases 139 100.0%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat Extensive 10 7.3%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat Extensive 10 7.3%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat Extensive 10 7.56%  Somewhat Somewhat 30 22.2% Extensive 3 2.2%		Extensive		
DEMANDS   Somewhat   Extensive   21   15.2%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   138   100.0%   139   100.0%   130	Valid Cases		138	100.0%
DEMANDS   Somewhat   Extensive   21   15.2%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   100.0%   138   138   100.0%   139   100.0%   130	RESEARCH/PUBLISHING	Not At All	5.4	30 1%
Extensive 21 15.2%  Valid Cases 21 15.2%  INST PROCEDURES AND Not At All Somewhat Extensive 21 100.0%  TEACHING LOAD Not At All Somewhat Extensive 20 14.4%  Valid Cases 139 100.0%  CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS Not At All Somewhat Extensive 10 7.3%  Valid Cases 139 100.0%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat 22.2% Extensive 30 22.2% Extensive 30 22.2% Extensive 30 22.2% Extensive 30 22.2%			_	
Valid Cases       138       100.0%         .       INST PROCEDURES AND "RED TAPE"       Not At All Somewhat Extensive       51       36.7%         Valid Cases       139       100.0%         .       TEACHING LOAD       Not At All Somewhat Extensive       61       43.9%         Valid Cases       139       100.0%         .       CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS       Not At All Somewhat Extensive       95       69.3%         Valid Cases       137       100.0%         .       MARITAL FRICTION       Not At All Somewhat Somewhat Extensive       102       75.6%         .       Somewhat Extensive       30       22.2%         .       Extensive       3       2.2%				
INST PROCEDURES AND Not At All Somewhat Extensive	Valid Cases			
Somewhat   Somewhat   Standard			130	100.070
Somewhat   S1   36.7%   Rextensive   12   8.6%   139   100.0%		Not At All	76	54.7%
Valid Cases	RED TAPE"	Somewhat	_	
TEACHING LOAD Not At All Somewhat Extensive 20 14.4%  CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS Not At All Somewhat Extensive 10 7.3%  Valid Cases 137 100.0%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat		Extensive		
Somewhat   58   41.7%	Valid Cases		139	100.0%
Somewhat   58   41.7%	TEACHING LOAD	Nint At All		
Extensive 20 14.4%  Valid Cases 139 100.0%  CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS Not At All 95 69.3% Somewhat 32 23.4% Extensive 10 7.3%  Valid Cases 137 100.0%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All 500 22.2% Extensive 3 2.2%	TEACHING LOAD			
Valid Cases       139       100.0%         .       CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS       Not At All Somewhat Extensive       95       69.3%         Valid Cases       10       7.3%         Valid Cases       137       100.0%         .       MARITAL FRICTION       Not At All Somewhat Extensive       30       22.2%         Extensive       3       2.2%				
CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS Not At All 95 69.3% Somewhat 23.4% Extensive 10 7.3% 100.0%  MARITAL FRICTION Not At All Somewhat 30 22.2% Extensive 3 2.2%	Valid Cooss	Extensive	_	
Somewhat   32   23.4%     Extensive   10   7.3%     Valid Cases   137   100.0%     MARITAL FRICTION   Not At All   102   75.6%     Somewhat   30   22.2%     Extensive   3   2.2%	Valid Cases		139	100.0%
Somewhat   32   23.4%     Extensive   10   7.3%     Valid Cases   137   100.0%     MARITAL FRICTION   Not At All   102   75.6%     Somewhat   30   22.2%     Extensive   3   2.2%	CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS	Not At All	95	69.3%
Extensive   10   7.3%   137   100.0%   137   100.0%   137   100.0%   100.		Somewhat		
Valid Cases       137       100.0%         .       MARITAL FRICTION       Not At All       102       75.6%         Somewhat       30       22.2%         Extensive       3       2.2%		Extensive		
Somewhat 30 22.2% Extensive 3 2.2%	Valid Cases			
Somewhat 30 22.2% Extensive 3 2.2%				
Extensive 3 2.2%	MARITAL FRICTION			
2.270			30	
I Valid Cases		Extensive		
135   100.0%	Valid Cases		135	100.0%
TIME PRESSURES Not At All 17 12.2%	TIME PRESSURES	Not At All	17	12 2%
Somewhat 65 46.8%				
Extensive 57 41.0%				

		Wake	Forest
Q.30 SOURCES OF S	STRESS:		
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
LACK OF PERSONAL TIME	Not At All	28	20.1%
TIME	Somewhat	64	46.0%
	Extensive	47	33.8%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
KEEPING UP WITH INFO	Not At All	62	44.6%
TECHNOLOGY	Somewhat	57	41.0%
	Extensive	20	14.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

Q.30 MEAN:		Wake Forest
HOUSEHOLD RESPONSIBILITIES		139
	Mean	1.88
·		
CHILD CARE	Valid Cases	137
	Mean	1.43
CARE OF ELDERLY PARENT	Valid Casas	
CARE OF ELDERLY PARENT	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.42
MY PHYSICAL HEALTH	Valid Cases	420
WITTITISICALTILALTIT	Mean	138
	Mean	1.49
REVIEW/PROMOTION PROCESS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.55
		1.55
SUBTLE DISCRIMINATION	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.35
		1.00
PERSONAL FINANCES	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.58
COMMITTEE WORK	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.65

Scale: 1=Not At All 2=Somewhat 3=Extensive

Q.30 MEAN:		Wake Forest
FACULTY MEETINGS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.55
COLLEAGUES	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.71
STUDENTS	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.65
RESEARCH/PUBLISHING DEMANDS	Valid Cases	138
DEIVIANDS	Mean	1.76
INST PROCEDURES AND "RED	Valid Cases	139
TAPE"	Mean	1.54
TEACHING LOAD	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.71
CHILDREN'S PROBLEMS	Valid Cases	137
	Mean	1.38
MARITAL FRICTION	Valid Cases	135
	Mean	1.27
TIME PRESSURES	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.29
LACK OF PERSONAL TIME	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.14
KEEPING UP WITH INFO	Valid Cases	139
TECHNOLOGY	Mean	1.70

Scale: 1=Not At All 2=Somewhat 3=Extensive

		Wake	Forest
Q.31 SATISFACTIO	N:		
SALARY AND FRINGE BENEFITS	Not Satisfied	7	5.0%
DENEFITS	Marginally Satisfied	40	28.8%

		Wake	Forest
Q.31 SATISFACTIO	N:		
SALARY AND FRINGE	Satisfied	75	54.0%
BENEFITS	Very Satisfied	17	12.2%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
OPPORTUNITY FOR	Not Satisfied	5	3.6%
SCHOLARLY PURSUITS	Marginally Satisfied	25	18.2%
	Satisfied	76	55.5%
	Very Satisfied	31	22.6%
Valid Cases		137	100.0%
TEACHING LOAD	Not Satisfied	8	5.8%
	Marginally Satisfied	28	20.3%
	Satisfied	88	63.8%
	Very Satisfied	14	10.1%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
QUALITY OF STUDENTS	Not Satisfied	2	1.4%
STODENTS	Marginally Satisfied	29	20.9%
	Satisfied	78	56.1%
	Very Satisfied	30	21.6%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
OFFICE/LAB SPACE	Not Satisfied	13	9.4%
	Marginally Satisfied	13	9.4%
	Satisfied	69	50.0%
	Very Satisfied	43	31.2%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
AUTONOMY AND INDEPENDENCE	Not Satisfied	1	.7%
INDEFERRED	Marginally Satisfied	11	8.0%
	Satisfied	56	40.6%
	Very Satisfied	70	50.7%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
PROFESSIONAL RELATIONS	Not Satisfied	14	10.1%
W/FACULTY	Marginally Satisfied	23	16.5%
	Satisfied	57	41.0%
	Very Satisfied	45	32.4%

		Wake	Forest
Q.31 SATISFACTIO	N:		
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
SOCIAL RELATIONS W/FACULTY	Not Satisfied	20	14.8%
Winkogen	Marginally Satisfied	30	22.2%
	Satisfied	57	42.2%
	Very Satisfied	28	20.7%
Valid Cases		135	100.0%
	N (0 () 5 1		
COMPETENCY OF COLLEAGUES	Not Satisfied	13	9.4%
	Marginally Satisfied	19	13.7%
	Satisfied	70	50.4%
Valid Cases	Very Satisfied	37	26.6%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
VISIBILITY FOR JOBS	Not Satisfied	4.5	47 40/
AT OTHER INSTS	Marginally Satisfied	15	17.4%
	Satisfied	17	19.8%
	Very Satisfied	44 10	51.2%
Valid Cases	vory calloned	86	11.6% 100.0%
		00	100.0 /0
JOB SECURITY	Not Satisfied	11	8.2%
	Marginally Satisfied	8	6.0%
	Satisfied	53	39.6%
	Very Satisfied	62	46.3%
Valid Cases		134	100.0%
RELATIONSHIPS WITH	Not Satisfied	10	7.2%
ADMINISTRATION	Marginally Satisfied	25	18.1%
	Satisfied	79	57.2%
	Very Satisfied	24	17.4%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
OVERALL JOB	Not Satisfied	_	2 60/
SATISFACTION	Marginally Satisfied	5 20	3.6% 14.4%
	Satisfied	77	55.4%
	Very Satisfied	37	26.6%
Valid Cases	. Sig Gallonia	139	100.0%
		139	100.070

		Wake	Forest
Q.31 SATISFACTION	N:		
OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP NEW IDEAS	Not Satisfied	7	5.0%
DEVELOP NEW IDEAS	Marginally Satisfied	13	9.4%
	Satisfied	70	50.4%
	Very Satisfied	49	35.3%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
AVAILABILITY OF CHILD	Not Satisfied	63	88.7%
CARL	Marginally Satisfied	3	4.2%
	Satisfied	3	4.2%
	Very Satisfied	2	2.8%
Valid Cases		71	100.0%

Q.31 MEAN SATISFACTION	:	Wake Forest
SALARY AND FRINGE BENEFITS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.73
OPPORTUNITY FOR SCHOLARLY PURSUITS	Valid Cases Mean	137 2.97
TEACHING LOAD	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	2.78
QUALITY OF STUDENTS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.98
OFFICE/LAB SPACE	Valid Cases Mean	138 3.03
AUTONOMY AND	Valid Cases	138
INDEPENDENCE	Mean	3.41
		]
PROFESSIONAL RELATIONS W/FACULTY	Valid Cases	139
WIAGOLII	Mean	2.96
SOCIAL RELATIONS W/FACULTY	Valid Cases Mean	135 2.69

Scale: 1=Not Satisfied 2=Marginally Satisfied 3=Satisfied 4=Very Satisfied

Q.31 MEAN SATISFACTION	:	Wake Forest
COMPETENCY OF COLLEAGUES	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.94
VISIBILITY FOR JOBS AT OTHER	Valid Cases	86
INSTS	Mean	2.57
JOB SECURITY	Valid Cases	134
	Mean	3.24
		0.21
RELATIONSHIPS WITH	Valid Cases	138
ADMINISTRATION	Mean	2.85
		2.00
OVERALL JOB SATISFACTION	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	3.05
		3.03
OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP	Valid Cases	139
NEW IDEAS	Mean	3.16
		3.10
AVAILABILITY OF CHILD CARE	Valid Cases	71
	Mean	
	Wican	1.21

Scale: 1=Not Satisfied 2=Marginally Satisfied 3=Satisfied 4=Very Satisfied

		Wake	Forest
Q.32 INSTITUTIONAL DE	SCRIPTORS:		
EASY TO SEE FACULTY OUTSIDE OFFICE HOURS	Not Descriptive	2	1.4%
OUTSIDE OFFICE HOURS	Somewhat Descriptive	39	27.9%
	Very Descriptive	99	70.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
GREAT CONFORMITY AMONG	Not Descriptive	2	1.4%
STUDENTS	Somewhat Descriptive	46	32.9%
	Very Descriptive	92	65.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
FACULTY AT ODDS WITH	Not Descriptive	37	26.8%
ADMINISTRATION	Somewhat Descriptive	80	58.0%
	Very Descriptive	21	15.2%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
FACULTY RESPECT EACH	Not Descriptive	4	2.9%
OTHER	Somewhat Descriptive	83	59.3%
	Very Descriptive	53	37.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
MOST STUDENTS TREATED	Not Descriptive	132	94.3%
LIKE OTHERS	Somewhat Descriptive	7	5.0%
	Very Descriptive	1	.7%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
SOCIAL ACTIVITIES	Not Descriptive	39	28.1%
OVEREMPHASIZED	Somewhat Descriptive	72	51.8%
	Very Descriptive	28	20.1%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
STUDENTS DON'T SOCIALIZE	Not Descriptive	124	88.6%
REGULARLY	Somewhat Descriptive	16	11.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
FACULTY REWARDED FOR	Not Descriptive	17	12.1%
GOOD TEACHING	Somewhat Descriptive	86	61.4%
	Very Descriptive	37	26.4%
Valid Cases	- <b>/</b> = 333p <b>3</b>	140	100.0%
		140	100.070

Q.32 MEAN DESCRIPTORS:		Wake Forest
EASY TO SEE FACULTY OUTSIDE OFFICE HOURS	Valid Cases	140
OT TOE TIOUNG	Mean	2.69
·		
GREAT CONFORMITY AMONG STUDENTS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.64
FACULTY AT ODDS WITH	Valid Cases	400
ADMINISTRATION	Mean	138
	Wican	1.88
FACULTY RESPECT EACH OTHER	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.35
MOST STUDENTS TREATED LIKE	Valid Cases	140
OTHERS	Mean	1.06
·		
SOCIAL ACTIVITIES OVEREMPHASIZED	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	1.92
STUDENTS DON'T SOCIALIZE	Valid Cases	440
REGULARLY	Mean	140
	IVICALI	1.11
FACULTY REWARDED FOR GOOD	Valid Cases	140
TEACHING	Mean	2.14

Scale: 1=Not Descriptive 2=Somewhat Descriptive 3=Very Descriptive

		Wake	Forest
Q.33 METHODS OF EV	ALUATION:		
MULTIPLE CHOICE MID-TERMS/FINALS	None	81	58.7%
MID-TERMS/FINALS	Some	36	26.1%
	Most	12	8.7%
	All	9	6.5%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
ESSAY MID-TERMS/FINALS	None	20	14.4%
	Some	28	20.1%
	Most	42	30.2%
	All	49	35.3%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.33 METHODS OF EVA	ALUATION:		
SHORT-ANSWER MID-TERMS/FINALS	None	23	16.4%
IVIID-TERRINO/TITVALO	Some	40	28.6%
	Most	38	27.1%
	All	39	27.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
	Name		
QUIZZES	None	45	32.6%
	Some	54	39.1%
	Most	24	17.4%
	All	15	10.9%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
WEEKLY ESSAY	None	79	56.4%
ASSIGNMENTS	Some	42	30.4 %
	Most		
	All	10	7.1%
Valid Cases	7 WI	9	6.4%
		140	100.0%
STUDENT PRESENTATIONS	None	14	10.0%
	Some	66	47.1%
	Most	36	25.7%
	All	24	17.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
TERM/RESEARCH PAPERS	None	22	15.8%
	Some	54	38.8%
	Most	34	24.5%
	All	29	20.9%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
CTUDENT EVALUATIONS OF	None		
STUDENT EVALUATIONS OF EACH OTHERS' WORK	None	80	57.1%
	Some	46	32.9%
	Most	10	7.1%
	All	4	2.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

## 2001-02 HERI Faculty Survey

		Wake	Forest
Q.33 METHODS OF E	VALUATION:		
GRADING ON A CURVE	None	90	65.2%
	Some	29	21.0%
	Most	11	8.0%
	All	8	5.8%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
COMPETENCY-BASED	None	49	36.8%
GRADING	Some	32	24.1%
	Most	25	18.8%
	All	27	20.3%
Valid Cases		133	100.0%

Q.33 MEAN METHODS:		Wake Forest
MULTIPLE CHOICE MID-TERMS/FINALS	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.63
ESSAY MID-TERMS/FINALS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.86
SHORT-ANSWER MID-TERMS/FINALS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.66
QUIZZES	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	2.07
		2.01
WEEKLY ESSAY ASSIGNMENTS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	1.64
		1.01
STUDENT PRESENTATIONS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.50
1.		2.50
TERM/RESEARCH PAPERS	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.50
		2.50
STUDENT EVALUATIONS OF EACH	Valid Cases	140
OTHERS' WORK	Mean	1.56
		1.30
GRADING ON A CURVE	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.54
	dii	1.54
COMPETENCY-BASED GRADING	Valid Cases	133
John Erenor Brock Grown	Mean	
	MCari	2.23

Scale: 1=None 2=Some 3=Most 4=All

		Wake Forest	
Q.33 METHODS OF INSTRUCTION:			
CLASS DISCUSSIONS	None	4	2.9%
	Some	32	22.9%
	Most	31	22.1%
	All	73	52.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

	Wake	Forest
Q.33 METHODS OF INSTRUCTION:		
COMPUTER/MACHINE-AIDED None INSTRUCTION	51	36.7%
Some	40	28.8%
Most	24	17.3%
All	24	17.3%
Valid Cases	139	100.0%
. COOPERATIVE LEARNING None	27	19.3%
Some	58	41.4%
Most	34	24.3%
All	21	15.0%
Valid Cases	140	100.0%
EXPERIENTIAL None	71	51.1%
LEARNING/FIELD STUDIES Some	45	32.4%
Most	15	10.8%
All	8	5.8%
Valid Cases	139	100.0%
TEACHING ACCIOTANTO		00.00/
TEACHING ASSISTANTS None	96	68.6%
Some	32	22.9%
Most	9	6.4%
All	3	2.1%
Valid Cases	140	100.0%
RECITALS/DEMONSTRATIONS None	89	63.6%
Some	33	23.6%
Most	9	6.4%
All	9	6.4%
Valid Cases	140	100.0%
GROUP PROJECTS None	44	32.1%
Some	56	40.9%
Most	22	16.1%
All	15	10.9%
Valid Cases	137	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.33 METHODS OF INST	TRUCTION:		
INDEPENDENT PROJECTS	None	20	14.3%
	Some	71	50.7%
	Most	33	23.6%
	All	16	11.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
EXTENSIVE LECTURING	None	32	23.0%
	Some	48	34.5%
	Most	40	28.8%
	All	19	13.7%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
MULTIPLE DRAFTS OF	None	47	33.8%
WRITTEN WORK	Some	62	44.6%
	Most	22	15.8%
	All	8	5.8%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
READINGS ON RACIAL/ETHNIC ISSUES	None	61	43.6%
	Some	43	30.7%
	Most	18	12.9%
	All	18	12.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
READINGS ON	None	62	44.6%
WOMEN/GENDER ISSUES	Some	46	33.1%
	Most	11	7.9%
	All	20	14.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
STUDENT-DEVELOPED	None	83	59.3%
ACTIVITIES	Some	37	26.4%
	Most	15	10.7%
	All	5	3.6%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
•			

		Wake	Forest
Q.33 METHODS OF INST	RUCTION:		
STUDENT-SELECTED TOPICS	None	75	54.3%
	Some	54	39.1%
	Most	7	5.1%
	All	2	1.4%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
CMTY SERVICE AS PART OF COURSEWORK	None	108	77.7%
COURSEWORK	Some	26	18.7%
	Most	4	2.9%
	All	1	.7%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

Q.33 MEAN METHODS:		Wake Forest
CLASS DISCUSSIONS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	3.24
COMPUTER/MACHINE-AIDED INSTRUCTION	Valid Cases Mean	139 2.15
COOPERATIVE LEARNING	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.35
EXPERIENTIAL LEARNING/FIELD	Valid Cases	139
STUDIES	Mean	1.71
TEACHING ASSISTANTS	Valid Cases Mean	140 1.42
RECITALS/DEMONSTRATIONS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	1.56
GROUP PROJECTS	Valid Cases Mean	137 2.06
INDEPENDENT PROJECTS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.32
•		

Scale: 1=None 2=Some 3=Most 4=All

Q.33 MEAN METHODS:		Wake Forest
EXTENSIVE LECTURING	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.33
MULTIPLE DRAFTS OF WRITTEN	Valid Cases	139
WORK .	Mean	1.94
READINGS ON RACIAL/ETHNIC	Valid Cases	140
ISSUES .	Mean	1.95
READINGS ON WOMEN/GENDER	Valid Cases	139
ISSUES	Mean	1.92
STUDENT-DEVELOPED	Valid Cases	140
ACTIVITIES	Mean	1.59
STUDENT-SELECTED TOPICS	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	1.54
CMTY SERVICE AS PART OF	Valid Cases	139
COURSEWORK	Mean	1.27

Scale: 1=None 2=Some 3=Most 4=All

		Wake	Forest
Q.34 LEVEL OF EDUCATION:			
SPOUSE'S	Some College	6	4.5%
HIGHEST LEVEL OF	College Grad	23	17.3%
EDUCATION	Attd Grad Schl	17	12.8%
	Advanced Degree	69	51.9%
	Not Applicable	18	13.5%
Valid Cases		133	100.0%
FATHER'S	8th or less	15	10.9%
HIGHEST LEVEL OF	Some HS	12	8.7%
EDUCATION	HS Grad	21	15.2%
	Some College	26	18.8%
	College Grad	21	15.2%
	Attd Grad Schl	12	8.7%
	Advanced Degree	31	22.5%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
-			
MOTHER'S	8th or less	9	6.5%
HIGHEST LEVEL OF	Some HS	8	5.8%
EDUCATION	HS Grad	39	28.3%
	Some College	23	16.7%
	College Grad	28	20.3%
	Attd Grad Schl	11	8.0%
	Advanced Degree	20	14.5%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%

		Wake Forest	
Q.35 GENERAL O	PINIONS:		
WESTERN CIV	Disagree Strongly	20	14.7%
FOUNDATION OF UNDERGRAD CURR	Disagree Somewhat	43	31.6%
	Agree Somewhat	53	39.0%
	Agree Strongly	20	14.7%
Valid Cases		136	100.0%
•			
COLLEGE CAN BAN	Disagree Strongly	62	44.6%
SPEAKERS	Disagree Somewhat	44	31.7%
	Agree Somewhat	27	19.4%
	Agree Strongly	6	4.3%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.35 GENERAL O	PINIONS:		
COLLEGE	Disagree Strongly	71	51.1%
(INCREASÉS) EARNING PÓWER)	Disagree Somewhat	53	38.1%
Li ii i	Agree Somewhat	14	10.1%
	Agree Strongly	1	.7%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%
DIVERSITY LEADS TO UNDEPREPARED	Disagree Strongly	58	41.4%
STUDENTS	Disagree Somewhat	54	38.6%
	Agree Somewhat	19	13.6%
	Agree Strongly	9	6.4%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
COLLEGES SHOULD	Disagree Strongly	14	10.1%
BE INVOLVED IN SOC PROBS	Disagree Somewhat	38	27.5%
T NOBS	Agree Somewhat	67	48.6%
	Agree Strongly	19	13.8%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
TENURE IS AN	Disagree Strongly	61	43.6%
OUTMODED CONCEPT	Disagree Somewhat	41	29.3%
	Agree Somewhat	26	18.6%
	Agree Strongly	12	8.6%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
ENCOURAGE	Disagree Strongly	_	2.00/
STUDENTS TO DO	Disagree Somewhat	5	3.6%
COMMUNITY SVC	Agree Somewhat	14 88	10.1% 63.3%
	Agree Strongly	32	23.0%
Valid Cases	rigido dilangiy	139	100.0%
		139	100.0 /6
GIVE CMTY SVC	Disagree Strongly	19	13.8%
WEIGHT IN ADMISSIONS	Disagree Somewhat	41	29.7%
	Agree Somewhat	66	47.8%
	Agree Strongly	12	8.7%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%

Note:

"COLLEGE INCREASES
EARNING POWER" is an abbreviation for "The chief benefit of a college education is that it increases one's earning power."

		Wake Forest	
Q.35 GENERAL OPINIONS:			
TENURE ATTRACTS BEST TO ACADEME	Disagree Strongly	11	8.0%
BEST TO ACADEME	Disagree Somewhat	24	17.4%
	Agree Somewhat	55	39.9%
	Agree Strongly	48	34.8%
Valid Cases		138	100.0%
DIVERSE STUDENT BODY ENHANCES	Disagree Strongly	1	.7%
EDUCATION	Disagree Somewhat	7	5.0%
	Agree Somewhat	45	32.1%
	Agree Strongly	87	62.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
EXT PRESSURE PREVENTS RES	Disagree Strongly	16	12.1%
OBJECTIVITY	Disagree Somewhat	45	34.1%
	Agree Somewhat	51	38.6%
	Agree Strongly	20	15.2%
Valid Cases		132	100.0%

Q.35 MEAN OPINION:		Wake Forest
WESTERN CIV FOUNDATION OF	Valid Cases	136
UNDERGRAD CURR	Mean	2.54
COLLEGE CAN BAN EXTREME SPEAKERS	Valid Cases	139
SPEAKERS	Mean	1.83
COLLEGE INCREASES EARNING POWER	Valid Cases	139
- OWER	Mean	1.60
·		
DIVERSITY LEADS TO UNDEPREPARED STUDENTS	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	1.85
COLLEGES SHOULD BE INVOLVED IN	Valid Cases	400
SOC PROBS	Valid Cases Mean	138
	Mean	2.66
TENURE IS AN OUTMODED CONCEPT	Valid Cases	140
TENONE IO AN OUTMODED CONCETT	Mean	140 1.92
		1.92
ENCOURAGE STUDENTS TO DO	Valid Cases	139
COMMUNITY SVC	Mean	3.06
		0.00
GIVE CMTY SVC WEIGHT IN	Valid Cases	138
ADMISSIONS	Mean	2.51
TENURE ATTRACTS BEST TO ACADEME	Valid Cases	138
	Mean	3.01
DIVERSE STUDENT BODY ENHANCES EDUCATION	Valid Cases	140
LEGOATION	Mean	3.56
EXT PRESSURE PREVENTS RES OBJECTIVITY	Valid Cases	132
	Mean	2.57

Scale: 1=Disagree Strongly 2=Disagree Somewhat 3=Agree Somewhat 4=Agree Strongly

		Wake Forest	
Q.36 POLITICAL VIEW:			
POLITICAL	Far Right	1	.7%
ORIENTATION	Conservative	19	14.1%
	Middle of Road	32	23.7%
	Liberal	74	54.8%
	Far Left	9	6.7%
Valid Cases		135	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.37 PERSONAL IMPORTANCE:			
BECOME AUTHORITY IN OWN FIELD	Not Important	24	17.1%
OWN FIELD	Somewhat Important	45	32.1%
	Very Important	61	43.6%
	Essential	10	7.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
· INFLUENCE POLITICAL	Not Important	73	52.1%
STRUCTURE	Somewhat Important	42	30.0%
	Very Important	20	14.3%
	Essential	5	3.6%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
		140	100.070
INFLUENCE SOCIAL	Not Important	33	23.6%
VALUES	Somewhat Important	52	37.1%
	Very Important	37	26.4%
	Essential	18	12.9%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
RAISE A FAMILY	Not Important	26	18.6%
	Somewhat Important	19	13.6%
	Very Important	26	18.6%
	Essential	69	49.3%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
			100.070
BE VERY WELL-OFF	Not Important	23	16.5%
FINANCIALLY	Somewhat Important	77	55.4%
	Very Important	37	26.6%
	Essential	2	1.4%
Valid Cases		139	100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.37 PERSONAL IMPO	ORTANCE:		
HELP OTHERS IN DIFFICULTY	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important Essential	2 60 61 17 140	1.4% 42.9% 43.6% 12.1% 100.0%
BE INVOLVED IN ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important Essential	33 76 24 7 140	23.6% 54.3% 17.1% 5.0% 100.0%
DEVEL MEANINGFUL PHILOSOPHY OF LIFE  Valid Cases	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important Essential	7 21 64 47 139	5.0% 15.1% 46.0% 33.8% 100.0%
HELP TO PROMOTE RACIAL UNDERSTANDING  Valid Cases	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important Essential	6 48 50 36 140	4.3% 34.3% 35.7% 25.7% 100.0%
OBTAIN RECOGNITION FROM COLLEAGUES  Valid Cases	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important Essential	18 50 56 16 140	12.9% 35.7% 40.0% 11.4% 100.0%
. INTEGRATE SPIRITUALITY IN LIFE  Valid Cases	Not Important Somewhat Important Very Important Essential	35 45 28 32 140	25.0% 32.1% 20.0% 22.9% 100.0%

		Wake	Forest
Q.37 PERSONAL IMPORTANCE:			
BE A GOOD COLLEAGUE	Somewhat Important	15	10.7%
	Very Important	52	37.1%
	Essential	73	52.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
BE A GOOD TEACHER	Very Important	37	26.4%
	Essential	103	73.6%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%
	N		
HAVE CONGRUENCE OF PERSONAL/INST VALUES	Not Important	20	14.3%
I LINGONAL/INGT VALUE	Somewhat Important	51	36.4%
	Very Important	52	37.1%
	Essential	17	12.1%
Valid Cases		140	100.0%

Q.37 MEAN IMPORTANCE:		Wake Forest
BECOME AUTHORITY IN OWN FIELD	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.41
INFLUENCE POLITICAL STRUCTURE	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	1.69
INFLUENCE SOCIAL VALUES	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.29
RAISE A FAMILY	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.99
BE VERY WELL-OFF FINANCIALLY	Valid Cases	139
	Mean	2.13
		2.10
HELP OTHERS IN DIFFICULTY	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.66
		2.00
BE INVOLVED IN ENVIRONMENTAL	Valid Cases	140
CLEANUP	Mean	2.04
	2 3	2.04
•		

Scale: 1=Not Important 2=Somewhat Important 3=Very Important 4=Essential

2001-02 HERI Faculty Survey

Q.37 MEAN IMPORTANCE:		Wake Forest
DEVEL MEANINGFUL PHILOSOPHY OF LIFE	Valid Cases	139
LIFE	Mean	3.09
HELP TO PROMOTE RACIAL	Valid Cases	140
UNDERSTANDING	Mean	2.83
OBTAIN RECOGNITION FROM	Valid Cases	140
COLLEAGUES	Mean	2.50
INTEGRATE SPIRITUALITY IN LIFE	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	2.41
BE A GOOD COLLEAGUE	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	3.41
BE A GOOD TEACHER	Valid Cases	140
	Mean	3.74
		0.7
HAVE CONGRUENCE OF PERSONAL/INST	Valid Cases	140
VALUES	Mean	2.47

Scale: 1=Not Important 2=Somewhat Important 3=Very Important 4=Essential