

# **Background and Purpose**

- Research on LGBT+ participation in study abroad is sparse and a critical area for exploration
- Only 5 empirical studies center LGBT+ identity within education abroad scholarship, indicating further research should be undertaken

### **Conduct a systematic literature search and review of** studies including queer participants to:

- Identify how LGBT+ students feature in current scholarship
- Identify key strengths and gaps in the existing literature
- Highlight future steps for both practitioners and researchers in the education abroad field

# **Research Questions**

- How many peer-reviewed articles have been published which feature LGBT+ study abroad participants?
- 2. To what extent is identity a factor in the articles?
- 3. What common findings emerge across the included studies?
- 4. What key gaps exist within this scholarship?

## **Inclusion Criteria**

- 1. Empirical data drawn from student participants attending U.S. universities who study abroad
- 2. include LGBT+ participants, explicitly mention LGBT+, or focus on a critical LGBT+ issue (e.g., HIV or AIDS)

### Method

- Search electronic databases for inclusion criteria (*n*=12)
  - Field 1: U.S.A. OR USA OR "United States" OR American
  - Field 2: "study abroad" OR (sojourner AND student) OR "educat\* abroad"; college or university
  - Field 3: Igbtq or lesbian or gay or homosexual or bisexual or transgender or homosexual or queer or sexual minority
- Articles included in the StAR Database (Authors, 2021) – (*n*=10)
- Broad Electronic Search Via Google Scholar (n=2401)
- Articles meeting inclusion criteria (n=13)





# Assessing LGBT+ Participation in Education Abroad Academic Scholarship

# Patrick Bingham, PhD, RAISE Center, Wake Forest University Nelson Brunsting, PhD, Director, RAISE Center, Wake Forest University

Key Information from Articles Meeting Inclusion Criteria

Authors	Participants	Location	Methodol	logy	
2013)	<b>Total</b> : 6 F: 4; M: 2 A-A/B: 1 Multiracial: 1 Nat. Am.: 1; White: 4 LGBT+: 1	South Africa	case-focuse analysis an	Qualitative: case-focused analysis and issue-focused analysis	
l. (2013)	<b>Total</b> : 218 F: 218 A-A/B: 3; API: 27 Hispanic: 10 Other: 14 White: 164 LGBT+: 17	Europe, Australia, Quantitative Asia, Central and Cross-section South America, Africa			
2013)	<b>Total</b> : 8 F: 8; Asian: 1; White: 7 LGBT+: 0	Cameroon	Quantitativ quasi- experiment pre-/post-te	tal	
2014)	<b>Total</b> : 1 F: 1; White: 1 LGBT+: 1	South Korea	Qualitative: Activity Theory using interviews and reflective journaling		
Soria [ [2015] ]	<b>Total</b> : 19,715 F: 11,379; M: 8,197 NBNCGQ: 47 Transgender: 22	No country data	a Quantitativ Unclear	ve:	
	Queer: 70 A-A/B: 1030 API: 3104 Nat. Am.: 78 Multiracial: 887 Hispanic: 2273 Other: 887 White: 14,616 LGBT+: 1,174			abroa cross-	
Muszkat- Barkan & Grant (2015)	Total: 10 F: 5; M: 5 Jewish: 10 LGBT+: 2	Israel	Qualitative: grounded theory	Lesbi comn cultur used	
Willis (2015)	<b>Total</b> : 19 F: 19; A-A/B: 19 LGBT+: 2	Morocco, Ghana, Spain, Italy, Ireland, Nigeria, England	Qualitative	Lesbi while exper comin	
Kronholz & Osborn (2016)	Total: 122 F: 91; M: 26 Transgender: 1 A-A/B: 7; API: 3 Multiracial: 5 Nat. Am: 1 Hispanic: 27 Other: 6; White: 79 LGBT+: 1	Spain, England, Italy, Panama, China, Israel	Mixed methods: statistical procedures and CIP Theory	Stude about tracks	
Apgar (2018)	Total: Unclear	Germany	Qualitative: Unclear	Digita to app equal	
Michl, Pegg, & Kraken (2019)	Total: 3 F: 2 NBNCGQ: 1 Latinx: unclear White: unclear LGBT+: 3	Europe, Asia	Qualitative: Thematic Analysis	Trans come comm receiv host cu abroad	
Pai & Wilson (2019)	Total: 121 F: 71; M: 50 A-A/B: 25; API: 6 Hispanic: 75 Nat. Am.: 1; White: 13 LGBT+: 1	Unstated	Mixed method: Quant pre- & post-trip survey; Qual reflection question in e- Portfolios	Accep associ age.	
Mnouer (2020)	Total: 1 M: 1; Latino: 1 Hispanic: 1 LGBT+: 1	Morocco	Qualitative: Narrative Inquiry	Under make home	
Donahue Wise (2021)		Bolivia, Uganda, India	Qualitative: Phenomenology	LGBT identit familie affecte "learn Langu	

Note. A-A/B = African-American/Black; Asian/Pacific Islander = API; F = Female; NBNCGQ = Non-Binary/Non-Conforming/genderqueer; M = Male;





#### Findings

The sole lesbian student suffered heightened culture shock, because of her identity, and had unsuccessful experiences fostering relationships within the broader LGBT community She focused her efforts on fostering community on campus as it was an apparent safer space for her.

More than 38% of female students studying abroad received unwanted sexual attention, were sexually harassed, or were sexually assaulted in large part perpetrated by host country men. No reflective data surrounding LGBT identity.

Short-term study abroad coupled with immersion course on HIV/AIDS helped students accept individuals living with this condition and advanced sympathy for the nursing students on the program.

Lesbian identification affected the language learning process through class teaching materials, perceived homophobia in South Korean culture, and through self-agency to display sexual orientation through dress and style.

Students who self-identify as gay, bisexual, or lesbian are more likely to participate in study abroad than their heterosexual peers. Trans, queer, and questioning students are more drawn to service learning, volunteering, and internship experiences

ad, while bisexual, questioning and queer students seek out ss-cultural or informal study abroad options.

ian student made full attempts to integrate within the munity, but often felt excluded or not accepted by Jewish ure, but saw the value in plurality of belief systems and these unaccaptences as means to foster discussion.

ian and bisexual female students experienced homophobia le studying in Sub-Saharan Africa. Because of these riences, the students did not feel comfortable or safe ing out.

lents studying abroad were more likely to think positively ut future careers, but were unable to identify specific career ks in general.

ital mapping of LGBT+ spaces in Berlin allowed students pply socio-historical understandings of LGBT+ history and lity initiatives on a 3-week study abroad.

and gender expansive students experienced reluctance to out, their genders were policed, lacked a sense of queer nunity, experienced sexual harassment and sexual assault, ved little information surrounding their identity within the culture; however, they all celebrated their experiences ad and would study abroad again.

eptance of different perspectives on LGBT+ identities, but ciates this position with religious background and young

rstanding and reflecting on self-narratives help students meaning of their time abroad and upon re-entry to their

T+ students in service-learning programs chose to disclose ty amongst peers, but not among host country adults (hos ies, bosses, organizations). Structural and social barriers d their time and disclosure of identity. Students took er" centered approach to integration about their identitie age was a barrier as they were not well-versed in age and/or no grammatical constructs to avoid gender.

#### LGBT+ Participants and Study Foci

Authors	LGBT+ Participants	LGBT+ Focused	LGBT+ Relevant
Paola & Lemmer (2013)	Total: 1 Lesbian: 1	No	No
Kimble et al. (2013)	<b>Total</b> : 17 Lesbian: 5; Bisexual (F): 12	No	No
Diesel et al. (2013)	Total: 0	No	Yes
Brown (2014)	<b>Total</b> : 1 Lesbian: 1	Yes	No
Bryant & Soria (2015)	<b>Total</b> : 1174 Bisexual: 409 Lesbian and Gay: 429 Queer: 70; Transgender: 22 Genderqueer: 47	Yes	No
Muszkat-Barkan & Grant (2015)	<b>Total</b> : 2 Lesbian: 2	No	No
Willis (2015)	<b>Total</b> : 2 Lesbian: 1 Bisexual (F): 1	No	No
Kronholz & Osborn (2016)	<b>Total</b> : 1 Transgender: 1	No	No
Apgar (2018)	Total: Unclear	No	Yes
Michl et al. (2019)	Total: 3 NBNCGQ: 3	Yes	No
Pai & Wilson (2019)	Total: 1 (unclear breakdown)	No	No
Mnouer (2020)	<b>Total</b> : 1 Gay: 1	Yes	No
Donahue & Wise (2021)	<b>Total</b> : 7 Gay: 2; Bisexual (M): 1 Transgender: 1; Queer: 3	Yes	No

Note. F = Female; M = Male; NBNCGQ = Non-Binary/Non-Conforming/Gendergueer

# Destinations



# **Global laws regarding sexual orientation**

ntersex.

**NAFSA Presentati** 

LGBT+ Community



69 countries outlaw being gay, to name a few; many of these countries have the death penalty in place for gay and, by extension bisexual, men: Afghanistan, Brunei, Iran, Mauritania, Nigeria, Pakistan, Saudia Arabia, Somalia, and Yemen (ILGA, 2020).



**East Asia/South Pacific** 

Middle East/North Africa

